UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/711,791	10/05/2004	Rahul SRIVASTAVA	ORCL-006/OID-2004-061-01	5790		
51121 I AW FIRM O	7590 08/09/2007 F NAREN THAPPETA	EXAMINER				
158, PHASE ONE PALM MEADOWS, RAMAGUNDANAHALLI			BASHORE, WILLIAM L			
AIRPORT WE BANGALORE	IITEFIELD ROAD 5. 560043	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
INDIA	,		2176			
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			08/09/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		App	lication No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/	711,791		SRIVASTAVA, RA	AHUL		
		Exa	miner		Art Unit			
		Willi	am L. Bashore		2176			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	cation appears	on the cover sheet w	vith the co	rrespondence ac	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply veply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE (f 37 CFR 1.136(a). I inication. utory period will appl rill, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNI n no event, however, may a y and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become A	ICATION. a reply be time ONTHS from the ABANDONED	ely filed ne mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>28 May 20</u>	<u>007</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL 2	b)⊠ This actio	n is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition f	or allowance e	xcept for formal mat	tters, pros	secution as to the	e merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or electi	on requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	-						
	Applicant may not request that any object							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examin	er. Note the attache	ed Office A	Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	or foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-	(d) or (f).			
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attach	**************************************							
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			Paper No	o(s)/Mail Dat	te			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Applic 6) Other:					tent Application			
	, apor recommon 50to							

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- a. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-31, 41-45, 47-48, drawn to Document Processing/Layout, classified in class715, subclass 517.
 - II. Claims 32-40, 46, drawn to Document Processing/Structured Document, classified in class 715, subclass 513.
- b. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are as follows:

Invention I is substantially directed to relative locations between data elements in a data file according to a markup language, therefore said invention is directed to layout of a document.

Invention II lacks the above, therefore Invention II is substantially directed to Document Processing, Structured Document.

c. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3

d. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

- e. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- f. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- g. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William L. Bashore whose telephone number is (571) 272-4088. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am 5:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Hutton can be reached on (571) 272-4137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/711,791

Art Unit: 2176

h. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WILLIAM BASHORE PRIMARY EXAMINER

August 5, 2007